


**OFFICE OF THE ELECTORAL OFFICER, BOARD OF CONTROL FOR CRICKET
IN INDIA (BCCI)**

BCCI ELECTION- DECEMBER 24, 2020

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The following is the summary of findings of Electoral Officer in respect of objections filed against Representatives of Full Members:

Date: December 15, 2020


Shri A.K. Joti

Electoral Officer, BCCI

Email: electoral.officer@bccci.tv

No.	Member Association	Summary of Findings
1.	Bihar Cricket Association	Shri Sanjay Kumar, Hon. Secretary, Bihar Cricket Association has filed objections regarding No-Confidence motion moved against Shri Rakesh Kumar Tiwary, President and Writ Petitions being sub-judice before Hon'ble High Court of Patna challenging the appointment of Shri Rakesh Kumar Tiwary. It was noted that no Order has been passed against Shri Rakesh Kumar Tiwary by the Hon'ble Patna High Court. The objections failed to establish any Disqualification as under Rule 6 of Constitution of BCCI. The objections stand Rejected.
2.	Cricket Association of Pondicherry	Shri G. Chandaran, Hon. Secretary, Bharathidasan Pondicherry Cricketers Forum filed objection regarding the conflict of interest of Shri P. Damodaran as Managing Director of Siechem Technologies Pvt. Ltd. with administrative and financial functioning of Cricket Association of Pondicherry. Since the objection is governed under Rule 37 and Rule 38 of Constitution of BCCI. The remedy lies with the Ethic Officer as under Rule 39 of Constitution of BCCI. The Electoral Officer thus do not have any jurisdiction regarding the same. The objections stand Rejected.
3.	Cricket Association of Uttarakhand	Shri Gopal Singh Gailkoti, Hon. Secretary, Uttarakhand Yuva Sangathan filed objections regarding the lack of transparency in financial and administrative functioning of Cricket Association of Uttarakhand under the influence of Shri Mahim Verma.

		<p>Shri Gopal Singh Gaillkoti raised numerous disputes regarding Election Process undertaken by Electoral Officer, CAU in the past. It is stated that the disputes should have been raised before the Competent Authority under the law. It is also seen that a Writ Petition being WP No. 1279 of 2019 before Hon'ble Supreme Court has also been preferred by Uttaranchal Cricket Association challenging the election of CAU which is sub-judice. The objections raised are governed under Rule 37 and Rule 38 of Constitution of BCCI. The remedy lies with the Ethic Officer as under Rule 39 of Constitution of BCCI. The Electoral Officer thus do not have any jurisdiction The objections stand Rejected.</p>
4.	Uttar Pradesh Cricket Association	<p>Objections filed by Shri Anurag Mishra, Shri Upendra Yadav, Shri Abhishek Shrivastava, Shri Arvind Kumar Mishra, Shri Ravindra Pratap Singh, Smt. Trisha Mishra, Shri Yogesh Kumar Kulsheshta. Shri Mohd. Mozzum, Shri Mahaveer Prasad and Shri Gopal Singh Gailakoti raised the following grounds:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cooling period of Shri Rajiv Shukla not over. 2. Shri Rajiv Shukla is director of UPCA since 2005. <p>Taking into consideration the clarification dated December 15, 2020 sent by Hon. Secretary, UPCA and as per the Direction issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in its Order dated September 20, 2019 in Civil Appeal No(s). 4235/2014 that - "Disqualification shall be only confined only to those who had held the post of "Office Bearer" of the Cricket Association. The same shall apply on all State Cricket Association". The objections failed to establish any Disqualification under Rule 6(4) of Constitution of BCCI since the cooling period of three years relating to of Shri Rajiv Shukla ended on June 26, 2020. The objections stand Rejected.</p>